UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,409	06/29/2005	Stefan Andersson	12400-025	4027
757 BRINKS HOF	7590 05/07/2007 ER GILSON & LIONE		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10395			COKER, ROBERT A	
CHICAGO, IL	60610		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/517,409	ANDERSSON, STEFAN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert A. Coker	3616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIS ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (X) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 June 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌 🤃	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,					
	- Marine					
Attachment(s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,409

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Group I – Figures 1 and 2;

Group II - Figures 3 and 4;

Group III - Figures 5 and 6;

Group IV – Figures 7 and 8;

Group V - Figures 9 and 10; and

Group VI – Figures 11 and 12.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/517,409

Art Unit: 3616

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 are drawn to Group I;

Claims 3 and 4 are drawn to Group II;

Claim 7 is drawn to Group III;

Claim 8 is drawn to Group IV;

Claim 9 is drawn to Group V; and

Claims 10 and 11 are drawn to Group VI.

The following claims appear to be generic: 1, 2, 12, 13 and 14.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

While it is true that the species share the common feature that they are all airbagventing devices, this is not a special technical feature, since airbag venting is old and well known in the art and the manner in which they do this is completely different from each other.

In Group I, the retainer (11) does the airbag venting;

In Group II, the airbag venting is done by the piston and cylinder;

In Group III, the explosive bolt does the airbag venting;

In Group IV, the airbag venting is done by the retainer and drive mechanism (40);

In Group V, the airbag venting is done by the expandable element (50); and

Art Unit: 3616

In Group VI, the airbag venting is done by the expandable bellows arrangement (60).

The shared features of increasing the gap between the gas generator and the support plate to create airbag venting are all old and well known in the airbag art and as such are not special technical features.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Coker whose telephone number is 571-272-8514. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,409

Art Unit: 3616

Robert A Coker Examiner Art Unit 3616

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600